

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/817,353	03/26/2001		Philip S. Siegel	067439-0111	4525	
31625	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
BAKER B	OTTS L.I	L.P.	FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A			
PATENT D				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
98 SAN JAO	CINTO BI	LVD., SUITE 1500	ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, T	X 78701	-4039	3627			
				D. TT. 14.11 TT. 00.04.0004		

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office	Action Summary	Pa	art of Paper No./Mail D	Pate 08172004				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other:	ate	O-152)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b)  ne drawing(s) be lection is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requ	uirement.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>-</u> is/are withdrawn f 5)□ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		on.						
Disposition of Claims  AN  Claim(s) 1-0 is/are pending in the application								
	r Ex parte Quay	/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	<u>May 2004</u> .							
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> Status								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	I. 1.136(a). In no event, eply within the statutor of will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
The MAN INC DATE of this communication of	Joseph A. Fi		3627	ddwa a a				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	<del>-</del>	Art Unit					
<b>;</b>	09/817,353		SIEGEL					
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					

Art Unit: 3627

Claims 10-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5/4/2004. Although traversed, no arguments were given and the restriction is thus made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims fail to incorporate sufficiently clear technological art devices, e.g. computer implementation, to effect the recited steps.

37CFR 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

We tike  $\sqrt{}$ 

Requirement to Produce copy of Provisional Application 60/1919,811 since Whitman US 2002/0019785 A1 would otherwise be reference: except for applicant's provisional filling date of 3/24/00.

Art Unit: 3627

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haseltine.

Haseltine discloses a method for processing the local return of remotely purchased merchandise on the WWW (see Par. # 0025):identifying the user (swiping of packing slip 26 identifies the user; and gathers transaction information associated with the identified user (see Par.# 0028); displaying a transaction history associated with a user(see Para.# 0028, bar coded slip yields e.g. information surrounding the transaction history as a whole, one transaction is capable of qualifying as "the transaction history" if that one transaction is all that occurred); and initiating a returns process in response to selection of at least one individual transaction by the user (swiping of the label 26 initiates the return process in response to the returnee selecting the product to be returned).

Art Unit: 3627

Re claims 2,3: retrieving a user preference profile for the user is read as -the record on the retailer's site- because somewhere in that record is information which has some preference e.g. which credit card chosen to use versus cash (see par. 0037 for options for refunds).

Re Claim 4: notifying the retailer of the merchandise to be returned (retailer is notified via the associate 42).

Re claim 5: see par.0028 which discloses information yielded by the swiping of slip 26 which includes information on both buyer and seller, retailer return policy and shipper, buyer etc.

RE claim 6 : slip 26 is read as the return shipping label because using it effects the item's return.

Re claim 7: see par. 0039 "such and such shipper" is notified of shipping request for return.

Re claim 9: see par. 0025 for Internet communication.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,353 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Claims 1,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haseltine. Haseltine disclose the problem with returns in that the customer and client may be separated by the entire breadth of the country making shipping for small products e.g. cookies (paragraph 0026) non cost effective. Thus it would be an obvious choice to try to sell the product for the best possible price so as not to incur a total loss and the old and notorious way of effecting this is the use of an auction.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to PRIMARY EXAMINER Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

9 1